Rai University AHMEDABAD
RISING - A Journal of Researchers
Bi-Annuals Peer Review Journal
Vol.6 Issue 1 (Jan 2022)
Impact Factor : 5.969
RISING A journal of researchers
ISSN No : 2456-7752

A SOCIO-LEGAL STUDY ON CONTROL ANIMALS RAISED FOR FOOD SPECIAL REFERENCE TO MEAT AND THE ENVIRONMENT

Jignesh Kumpavat

Research Scholar (LAW), Gokul Global University, Siddhpur

ABSTRACT:

This research paper cover main research problem It is that raising animals for food is the biggest cause of water pollution in the Industrial Dysfunction world. Bacteria, pesticides, and antibiotics concentrated in animal flesh are also found in their feces, and these chemicals can have a catastrophic effects on the ecosystems around the larger fields. In some countries, animals raised for food produce 130 times the human being living there! Most of the waste from factory farms and abatoors flows into the flow and rivers, contamination of water sources.

Animals raised for food also produce toxic gases such as ammonia and methane along with their dissolution. According to the Worldwatch Institute, 15 to 20 percent of methane gas emissions are made by animals raised for food. This gas contributes to the weather and can make people living in communities around the fields.

This research is needed because large amounts of feces produced by animals in the fields are spreading into the waterways, contamination of water and make people sick. The researcher here has chosen a special category while millions of people around the world are experiencing drought and water shortages, most of the world's water supply is diverted to the agriculture of animals. It takes 20,940 liters of water to produce 1 kg of meat, but only 503 liters of water to produce 1 kg of wheat. A pure vegetarian diet requires only 1,137 liters of water daily, while a meat -based diet requires more than 15,160 liters of water daily. It is clear that raising animals for food causes tremendous stress on our already limited water supply, and water is used more effectively when it goes towards producing crops for human consumption. The researcher depends on the role of the subsequent because the deal that animals do not have to experiment, eat, wear, use for entertainment or abuse any other way. Researcher use questionary method to understand currant research problem.

KEYWORDS: Animals raised for food, Non Veg Food, Meat and the Environment.

INTRODUCTION:

The meat industry is responsible for a large portion of global greenhouse gas emissions. It contributes not only to global warming but also causes direct environmental pollution. People who eat a lot of meat can help combat the climate crisis by reducing or completely abandoning meat consumption. Even replacing beef with other meat would significantly reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Meat production contributes significantly to the release of greenhouse gases, including carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide. As large volumes of these greenhouse gases accumulate in Earth's atmosphere, they absorb radiation and prevent heat from escaping. This leads to global warming.

Raising farm animals in such massive quantities is a major contributor to their greenhouse gas emissions. As ruminant animals they digest their food in a process known as enteric fermentation, which releases methane and nitrous oxide. Both are very powerful greenhouse gases: methane is 27 to 30 times more effective and nitrous oxide 273 times more effective at trapping heat than carbon dioxide over a 100-year period.

The industry is also responsible for the release of greenhouse gases through feed production, animal transportation, and emissions released by the slaughter process.

Despite the environmental implications of meat consumption, there are those who promote the regular inclusion of meat in our diet. Reasons for this include the nutrients meat provides and its place in cultures around the world.

OBJECTIVES:

1. To Study non veg food effect on environment.

2. To Study govt policy to protect environment from non veg food.

3. To Study awareness amongst to people regarding global warming and direct environmental pollution via meet and other non veg food.

Climate change

As forests are destroyed, soil is degraded, water reserves are depleted and large volumes of greenhouse gases are released, livestock farming is having a devastating effect on our already

struggling climate. This means that if current climate goals are to be met, there needs to be a significant change in the way meat is consumed.

Why is meat bad for climate change?

When protein sources are compared based on their greenhouse gas emissions, beef comes in first place. The production of just 100 grams of protein from beef is responsible for the release of 49.89 kilograms of carbon dioxide. It also produces methane and nitrous oxide, which are even more powerful at trapping heat than carbon dioxide, when measured over decades.

Environmental Impact Statistics of the Meat Industry

• More than 88 billion land animals are raised and slaughtered for food production each year.

• The livestock industry is responsible for at least 16.5 percent of global greenhouse gas emissions.

• Despite the magnitude of its environmental impact, livestock farming only provides 18 percent of the calories in global food production.

• Of greenhouse gas emissions from livestock, 44 percent are in the form of methane and 29 percent in the form of nitrous oxide.

• Livestock farming currently occupies more than a third of the world's habitable land area.

• Depending on the type, between 5,000 and 20,000 liters of water may be needed to produce 1 kilogram of meat.

• To produce 1 kilogram of beef, 25 kilograms of cereals and 15,000 liters of water are needed.

The nutritional value of meat and the belief that it cannot be substituted in a nutritious diet means that it is often thought to be essential for health. Different forms of meat have different nutritional values, but they are all high in protein. Many are also rich in vitamin B12 and minerals like zinc and iron. However, it is important to note that these nutrients can be obtained from alternative sources. Vitamin B12 is not naturally present in any plant-based foods, but many vegan foods are fortified with B12 and can be easily supplemented. Good sources of zinc for plant-based diets include beans, chickpeas, tofu, lentils and nuts, and iron can be found in foods such as lentils, tofu, kale, apricots and flax seeds.

Some also consider meat an integral part of their culture. However, until a few hundred years ago, meat consumption occurred on a much smaller scale than today, and most people relied on plant-based foods for most of their nutrition.

Land Use

The composition of some soils means that they are more conducive to the production of grasses than human food crops and are not suitable for agriculture. However, the cattle n associated with 25 different health issues, including cardiovascular disease, Type 2 diabetes and bowel cancer. The risk of developing many of these diseases can be lowered by substituting meat with alternative protein sources such as beans, legumes and tofu. Even switching just some of the meat products you enjoy for a plant-based option can be beneficial to your health. With the increase in availability of plant-based foods seen in recent years, it is now easier than ever for many consumers to replace meat-based products with healthier alternatives.

The environmental impacts of meat production and its contribution to climate change also make meat production as a whole threat to human health. According to the World Health Organization, climate change is the single largest threat to human health.

Animal Welfare

Worldwide, over 88 billion land animals are slaughtered every year for food. With increasing demand for meat, animal agriculture is becoming increasingly industrialized, resulting in large numbers of animals being kept in conditions that fail to meet their most basic welfare needs. Many of these animals are slaughtered inhumanely, meaning that the final moments of their lives are spent in physical pain and emotional distress.

Sustainability

The ecological impact of the animal agricultural industry means that its products are far from being sustainable food sources. Meat production uses up resources and releases emissions at a rate that is disproportionate to the nutrition it provides.

Environmental Impacts

The raising and slaughter of animals for their meat is causing widespread environmental impacts. These include loss of biodiversity, large volumes of greenhouse gas emissions and water pollution.

What Kind of Diet Is Best for the Environment?

To limit climate change and ensure global food security it's vital that dietary change takes place on a very large scale. Because of the environmental implications of meat production, the most environmentally friendly diet is one that involves minimal or no meat consumption. One study showed that a global shift to a diet based on plants instead of meat could reduce the greenhouse gas emissions of food production by 70 percent by the year 2050.

Not new data eating meat is terrible for the climate. The genuine inquiry is: the reason is eating meat terrible for the climate?

During a time where individuals are starting to consider their own ecological effects, plant-based eats less carbs are turning out to be progressively ordinary. Be that as it may, do we truly know why meat utilization is so terrible for the climate? On the off chance that you're not totally certain, this blog ought to act as a presentation.

Creature Related Discharges

Animals that are cultivated for human utilization produce various sorts of outflows.

Fossil fuel byproducts of various food types are estimated in kilograms of carbon dioxide reciprocals (CO2e) which envelops every single ozone depleting substance, weighted by their a worldwide temperature alteration potential (GWP).

Ozone depleting substances (GHGs) are those that trap the intensity from the sun to initiate a warming impact and incorporate carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide, as specific illustrations.To compute the ecological effect of a specific food item, outflow estimations are made at each step of the item's life cycle. This incorporates, yet isn't restricted to, creature feed, land use, compost the board, creature butcher, meat handling and bundling, and transport to retailers.

The diagram beneath exhibits the GHG emanations per 100g of protein for a scope of various food types.

Hamburger is by a wide margin the most carbon-serious; over 25x more than tofu and 50x more than beats. Curiously, dairy items rank essentially higher than poultry and pig meat which mirrors the adverse consequence of cows cultivating comparative with other domesticated animals.

Ozone harming substance outflows per 100 grams of protein

Yet, where do these outflows come from?

Ruminant animals like cows and sheep produce methane in their stomach related process as a side-effect of the bacterial maturation of plant material in their stomach. Methane is a GHG with a more limited life expectancy however fundamentally higher an Earth-wide temperature boost potential than carbon dioxide.

This contributes obviously to the high carbon impression of meat and sheep; more than half of emanations from sheep creation come from methane alone (Poore and Nemecek, 2018).

Animals compost contains carbon and nitrogen that are dependent upon substance change into methane and nitrous oxide (both GHGs) from natural cycles. There is enormous fluctuation in emanations relying upon the way things are overseen and treated, however altogether 22% of all food discharges in the EU come from compost the executives (Sandström et. al, 2018).

22% of all food discharges in the EU come from excrement the executives (Sandström et. al, 2018).

Land Use and Deforestation

Meat creation is the single greatest reason for deforestation around the world. To account for eating pastures and cropland, regular timberlands and fields are annihilated. In annihilating timberlands to create modern meat, billions of lots of carbon dioxide (CO2) are delivered into the climate every year. This triggers a nursery impact and warms the planet over its generally expected normal temperatures.

Those food varieties which require the most land-use change will thusly have the most noteworthy fossil fuel byproducts. For instance, sheep requires 105x more land (per kg of the eventual outcome) than tofu (Poore and Nemecek, 2018).

On top of the land that the domesticated animals needs to live on, there is likewise a lot of land expected to develop creature feed. For instance, for each 1kg of chicken meat delivered, 3.3kg of feed is important (Alexander et. Al, 2016). This is a very wasteful utilization of assets and hence potential worldwide food energy. Altogether, animals as of now takes up 83% of worldwide cultivating land and in doing so just delivers 18% of the world's calories (Poore and Nemecek, 2018).

Altogether, animals presently takes up 83% of worldwide cultivating land and in doing so just creates 18% of the world's calories (Poore and Nemecek, 2018).

Sheep use per kilogram of food item

Water Use and Eutrophication

On top of delivering ozone harming substances, meat creation has two other fundamental negative ecological effects.

The creation and utilization of creature items comes down on the globe's freshwater assets; freshwater shortage fluctuates across the world, for certain areas under extreme water asset stress.

The development and handling of yields and animals is incredibly water-serious (basically for water system), assessed to represent 70% of worldwide water use. Creature items, particularly meat, have especially high water impressions.

As examined, the wasteful exchange of energy from creature feed to meat implies enormous volumes of water are utilized to develop the creature feed. For instance, 15,000 liters of water are expected to deliver 1kg of Veal, while just 1,000 liters are expected for 1kg of wheat (Shabalina et. al, 2021).

Water use in meat creation

On top of freshwater use for food creation, freshwater bodies may likewise be dirtied by overabundance supplement overflow. The supplements from compost and creature excrement are conveyed by downpour into waterways.

This is known as eutrophication. The exorbitant amounts of supplements cause the development of an algal sprout, which blocks different plants from daylight and chokes out fish, causing biodiversity misfortune and water poisonousness.

Things being what they are, how do different food items add to eutrophication?

The chart beneath shows the eutrophying discharges per kilogram of food, estimated in grams of phosphate reciprocals (PO4eq). Eutrophication is 59x higher per kg of meat than per kg of tofu because of overflow from fertilizer (Poore and Nemecek, 2018).

Eutrhophying outflows per kilogram of food item

Taken together, the water impression and eutrophication of freshwater bodies from meat creation causes the accompanying effects:

Biodiversity misfortune in sea-going biological systems

Decay of water quality and decreased admittance to safe drinking water

Dry season

Corruption of soil fruitfulness

Consider the possibility that I purchase natural items. Does that have an effect?

Having considered the significance of the on-ranch emanations, it would be sensible to scrutinize the effect of cultivating ordinarily versus naturally on the fossil fuel byproducts of meat items. You have most likely heard that looking for natural items is all the more harmless to the ecosystem, yet this isn't really the situation.

Research shows that on typical they have the equivalent epitomized GHG outflows; regular farming frequently performs better on ecological measures including land use, ozone depleting substance discharges, and contamination of water bodies. Truth be told, naturally cultivated meat has essentially higher land use and eutrophication than ordinary (Clark and Tilman, 2017).

You have most likely heard that looking for natural items is all the more harmless to the ecosystem, yet this isn't really the situation.

All in all, why is eating meat terrible for the climate?

The principal regions illustrated in this blog connecting eating meat and environmental change are as per the following:

Land-use change for animals and creature feed. Domesticated animals takes up 83% of worldwide cultivating area to create 18% of the world's calories. In addition to the fact that this is an extraordinarily wasteful utilization of assets requires huge deforestation causing CO2 discharges and biodiversity misfortune.

On-ranch exercises. Ruminants (e.g., cows and sheep) produce methane in their stomach related process and discharge compost with high carbon and nitrogen content. These contribute altogether to worldwide ozone depleting substance discharges.

Water use and eutrophication. The extraction of freshwater and overabundance supplement overflow causes a large group of negative ecological effects including dry spell, biodiversity misfortune, and contamination of water bodies.

In a period where there is a ton of discussion around shopping locally and lessening plastic bundling (both significant by their own doing) these figures feature that what you eat is a higher priority than where it comes from and the way things are bundled.

Basically all creature items radiate definitely more than plant-based other options. While searching for ways of diminishing your own carbon impression, lessening meat (especially hamburger) utilization is frequently contended to be the most effective step you can take, and in this way a decent spot to begin.

CONCLUSION:

Taking meat off your plate and making the switch to a plant-based diet is a great way to reduce your environmental impact and contribute to meaningful change. With a widespread shift toward plant-based diets, fewer animals would be raised and slaughtered, greenhouse gas emissions would fail, and the environmental impacts of our food system would be significantly reduced.

REFERENCES:

1. https://sentientmedia.org/why-is-eating-meat-bad-for-theenvironment/#:~:text=in%20soil%20fertility.,Greenhouse%20Gas%20Emissions,This%20leads%20to%20global%20warming.
2. https://www.dw.com/en/fact-check-is-eating-meat-bad-for-the-environment/a-63595 148#:~:text=Verdict,or%20quitting%20meat%20consumption%20altogether.
3. https://www.petaindia.com/issues/animals-used-for-food/environment/
4. https://www.fao.org/land-water/news-archive/news-detail/en/c/1032702/